Preser

App. F. IX-4

1932.
(Mysore)

File No. 160-32

Serial Nos. 1+2

Previous References

W 92 -30-1-2.

Later References

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial Nos. 2 —

Part B for January 33.

SUBJECT.

(1) Retention of the inam character of the lands of Sri Muthuraya Swami temple at
(2) Thogenahalli village Bangalore Taluk

To see Mem

2/2

No 1073 of 19-1-33.

Stating that the Govt. Order 8-10-30 cannot be revised unless the devotees of the temple deposit 50% of the expenses of renovation

C-1-c

General and Revenue Secretariat | Hug 3 File No. 160 of 1932-3 | [App. F. III—2

Serial No.	
1.	Letter No 429/32-33 dy 6.12.32 from the Asst Commissioner forwarding records relating to inam lands of Sri Muthuraya Swami at Bhoganahalli village Bangalore Taluk and requesting for orders as to the lines on which enquiry is to be made in the Inam Character of the temple land as proposed by the Deputy Commissioner Bangalore at his inspection
	1 Re request of the A.C. for orders
	2) It was on the recommendation of the A.C. that the G.O. of Oct 30 (Hag E) was passed and now he wants that this order should be revised. In this connection kind reference is invited to the last sentence of para 2 of the D.C's letter dy 24.6.30 to the A.C. sent as Enc: to the D.C's letter of Aug 30 on which the above G.O. was passed. It is clearly stated therein that "If there should be any devotees anxious to have a building the question as to what amount may be set apart for the building ..... may then be considered." This can even now be done without varying the G.O. as recommended by the A.C.
	3) The present recommendation is based on two assumptions

Hag U

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

The devotees will remove the temple and that they will rebuild the deity's house at their own cost. It is seen from the Kannada records sent that the puja is being conducted for 1921 and that one Pattari Ramjundappa had even then (i.e. in July 1924 when the then D.C. addressed the C.C. on the subject) come forward to repair the temple building at a cost of about Rs 300/-. (Vide Serial No 1 in the Kannada file). This very same person is one of the signatories in the petition presented to the present D.C. in January 1932. Evidently this person has failed to keep up to his promise & has now got some more people to agitate now. In view of this it is a matter for consideration whether the G.O. already passed can be revised - If the devotees are really earnest about this, they may be called upon to deposit Rs 300/- or such amount as is required for the construction of the ~~deity~~ temple & the installation of the deity And if they do so the question of revising the order may be considered

b) The usual recommendation forwarded.

For Secy)

2/Jan/32

2nd. JK)

The G.O cannot be revised unless the devotees

57/1/33 JK

Secretariat Number of Coun
JAN
No 4375

Serial No.

instead of merely promising to do things show their earnestness by depositing not less than 50 % of the expenses of renovation etc within a period to be fixed by the Govt.

Govt
7/1
to DH
7/1

DH/ Retd

Govt
18/1/33

2 No 1043 of 19-1-33 to the Munzai Commissioner declining to grant a modification of the order referred to therein until the devotees of the temple specified therein deposit not less than 50 per cent of the Co penses of Renovation -

10-
1931

WD 70—GBPM—60,000—13-8-31.

File No. of 193 .

General and Revenue
Secretariat

C. 13]

No. 429/32-33
Encl 1 File

GENL. & REV. SECRETARIAT
8 DEC 1932
051

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER
IN MYSORE.

Dated, Bangalore, 2nd December ... 1932.

6.

Mes 160-32-1

FROM

S. HIRIANNAIYA, ESQ,. M.A., B.L.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.



To

S. Shamanna, Esq., B.A.,
Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore.
F. i. n. a. n. c. i. a. l. *Department.*

SIR,

Adverting to G.O. No.792-95/Mis.99-30 dated 6-10-30, sanctioning the cancellation of the inam tenure and sale of the lands endowed to Sri Muthrayaswami temple at Bhogenahalli village, and directing the investment of the sale proceeds in the general Muzrai Fund, I have the honor to forward herewith the vernacular records received with the Bangalore District Deputy Commissioner's letter No.C3-1136/26-27 dated 28-10-32 (copy enclosed) and to state as follows:-

It is reported that the devotees of the above temple have now come forward to renovate the temple and install the deity, which they have kept in some other temple where puja is being regularly rendered, and are prepared to meet the entire cost from their own pockets and that the Municipality have agreed to give separate sites for the construction of the temple. The Deputy Commissioner further states that the Municipality have proposed to acquire the temple inam lands S.Nos. 5 and 6 of the construction of a Veterinary Hospital and that the lands have been valued at Rs. 1000/- per acre. The temple would thereby be getting a sum of about Rs. 2,300/- which if invested in the name of the temple may yield sufficient interest to meet the recurring expenses of the temple. The Deputy Commissioner recommends that the Government Order under advertence may be passed to do so.

retain the inam tenure of the two S.Nos. 5 and 6 in the name of the temple As the lands may fetch a good value and the villagers are very enthusiasti to resuscitate the institution and restore regular worship to the deity, I request that sanction of Government may kindly be obtained to retain the inam character of the temple land as proposed by the Deputy Commissioner.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Muzrai Commissioner.

Letter No.C3-1136/26-27 dated 25-10-32 from the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District, to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

With reference to correspondence ending with your Memo No.R.Dis.35/30-dated nil forwarding copy of G.O. No.782-95/Muz.92-30 dated 8th October 1930 sanctioning the cancellation of the inam tenure of the Devadaya Inam lands Nos.5 and 6 of Thogenahalli village, Bangalore Taluk, endowed to the Sri Suthrayaswami temple of the same village and directing the investment of the sale realisations of the said lands in the General Muzrai funds, as the temple in question was then found to be defunct, I have the honor to state that the Amildar, Bangalore Taluk, reports that the devotees of the said temple have come forward to renovate the temple and instal the deity, which they have kept in some other temple where puja is being regularly rendered and are prepared to meet the entire cost from their own pockets etc., and that the Municipality have agreed to give separate sites for the construction of the temple also.

The Municipality have also proposed to acquire the above two Survey Nos. for the construction of the Veterinary Hospital and the lands have been valued at about Rs 1000/- per acre and the temple would thus be getting a sum of about Rs 2300/- which if invested in the name of the temple may yield sufficient annual interest to meet the routine expenses of the temple.

Under the above circumstances, I request that the Government Order referred to above may kindly be caused to be revised so as to retain the inam tenure of the above two Survey Nos. in the name of the said Suthrayaswami temple as there are prospects of the temple being renovated and the deity reinstalled.

The entire file of vernacular records connected with the case is enclosed herewith for your reference.

"COPY"

For Muzrai Commissioner.

1073 Income file 18th January 19

Muz 100-32-2

The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,

Bangalore.

With reference to your letter No.429/32-33 dated 6th December 1932 requesting the retention of the Inam tenure of lands belonging to Sri Muthrayaswami temple at Bhogenahalli Village, Bangalore Taluk, I am directed to state that the Government Order No.792-95/Muz. 92-30-2 dated 8th October 1930 cannot be revised unless the devotees of Sri Mutharayaswami temple instead of merely promising to do things show their earnestness by depositing not less than 50 per cent of the expenses of renovation, etc., within a period to be fixed by you.

The records received with your letter under advertence are herewith returned.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

for Secretary to Government,
Financial Department.

Aga/2

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Section Revenue

File No. 160-32

Serial No. 2

y No. of letter replied to 651

No. 1078 Encl file

To

The M.C

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 193 .

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. 429/32-33 dt 6.12.32 regarding the relinquishment of the inam lands belonging to Sri Muthuraya Swamy temple at Rhogenahalli Village Bangalore Tk, I am directed to state that the Govt orders 792-95/Rev 92-30 dt 8/10/30 cannot be revised unless the decision of Sri Muthuraya swamy temple instead of merely promising to do things show their earnestness by depositing not less than 50 in the

13/1/33

Signed by .....

List of enclosures .....

Copied by .....

Examined by .....

WD 71—GBPM—40,000—20-7-31.

of the expenses of renovation &c within
a period to be fixed by you.

The deeds received
with this letter were advertised
as hereto annexed —

I have &c

18/1/33

Forwd to Govt
Tr Dept

G.S
17/1